ANSWER OF CLAIMANTS CITIMORTGAGE, INC. AND CITIBANK, N.A.



2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

1.	As to Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, Claimants admit the defendant real
property is	located a 10 Table Bluff Road, Loleta, California. Claimants lack
sufficient in	nformation or knowledge to respond to the other averments contained in
Paragraph 1	l, and basing its denial on this ground, deny each and every other
allegation t	herein.

- 2. As to Paragraph 2 through 4 of the Complaint, Claimants lack sufficient information or knowledge to respond to the averments contained in Paragraphs 2 through 4, and basing its denial on this ground, deny each and every other allegation therein.
- As to Paragraph 5 of the Complaint, Claimants admit the Plaintiff in 3. the instant action is the United States of America.
- As to Paragraph 6 of the Complaint, Claimants admit the defendant real 4. property is located a 10 Table Bluff Road, Loleta, California. Claimants lack sufficient information or knowledge to respond to the other averments contained in Paragraph 6, and basing its denial on this ground, deny each and every other allegation therein.
- 5. As to Paragraphs 7 through 12 of the Complaint, Claimants lack sufficient information or knowledge to respond to the averments contained in Paragraphs 7 through 12 of the Complaint, and basing its denial on this ground, deny each and every other allegation therein.
- As to Paragraph 13 of the Complaint, Claimants answer the allegations 6. of Paragraph 13 of the Complaint by incorporating its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 12, inclusive of the Complaint.
- As to Paragraphs 14 through 17 of the Complaint, Claimants lack 7. sufficient information or knowledge to respond to the averments contained in Paragraphs 14 through 17 of the Complaint, and basing its denial on this ground, deny each and every other allegation therein.

2

3

5

6

7

8

_

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Validity & Priority of Deed of Trust)

Joan C. Hunziker executed a deed of trust ("First Deed of Trust") on 8. the defendant real property in favor of CITIMORTGAGE, INC. to secure the repayment of a \$388,000.00 mortgage loan ("First Subject Loan"). The First Deed of Trust was recorded, on August 15, 2006, in the Official Records of Humboldt County, as Instrument Number 2006-23768-24. Joan C. Hunziker also executed a second deed of trust ("Second Deed of Trust") on the defendant real property in favor of CITIBANK WEST, F.S.B. (now known and referred to as CITIBANK, N.A.) to secure the repayment of a \$72,750.00 mortgage loan ("Second Subject Loan"). The Second Deed of Trust was recorded, on August 15, 2006, in the Official Records of Humboldt County, as Instrument Number 2006-23769-7. The First Subject Loan constituted an existing and valid lien on the defendant real property inuring to the benefit of responding CITIMORTGAGE, INC., and the Second Subject Loan constituted an existing and valid lien on the defendant real property inuring to the benefit of responding CITIBANK, N.A. Said First Deed of Trust and Second Deed of Trust are entitled to priority, such that First Deed of Trust and Second Deed of Trust and First Subject Loan and Second Subject Loan must be first satisfied out of any monetary proceeds recovered by Plaintiff in this action, by virtue of any foreclosure proceedings on the subject property, or otherwise, before satisfaction of any liens or judgment in favor of Plaintiff or any of the defendants in this action.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Bona Fide Encumbrancer for Value)

9. Answering Claimants are informed and believe, and thereupon allege that the First Subject Loan and First Deed of Trust, as well as the Second Subject Loan and Second Deed of Trust were acquired by bona fide encumbrancers for value of the defendant real property. This defense is alleged in the alternative and

does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Innocent Owner)

10. CITIMORTAGE, INC. owns the First Subject Loan, and CITIBANK CITIBANK, N.A. owns the Second Subject Loan, which are secured by corresponding recorded deeds of trust in the defendant real property. As innocent owners, said security interests are protected from forfeiture under 18 USCA § 983(d).

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Liability for Third Party Acts)

11. Answering Claimants are informed and believe, and thereupon allege, that at all times relevant, the holder(s) of the First Subject Loan and Second Subject Loan were unaware and did not know of the illegal conduct allegedly related to the defendant property, which forms the basis of the instant forfeiture Complaint. Answering Claimants are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that the holder(s) of the First Subject Loan and Second Subject Loan are not liable for independent acts of third parties, and Plaintiff's damages, if any, are attributable to acts of third parties. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Right to Equitable Lien)

12. Answering Claimants are informed and believe, and thereupon allege, that to the extent that the proceeds from the First Subject Loan of \$388,000.00, and proceeds from the Second Subject Loan of \$72,750.00, were used to pay a preexisting lien against the defendant property and, if it is determined that the First Subject Loan and First Deed of Trust, and/or Second Subject Loan and Second Deed of Trust are invalid, then the holder(s) of the First Subject Loan and/or Second Subject Loan, respectively, is entitled to an equitable lien against said property.

This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Right to Add Additional Affirmative Defenses)

13. Answering Claimants are informed and believe, and thereupon allege, that because the Complaint herein is couched in conclusory terms, answering Claimants presently have insufficient knowledge or information on which to form a belief as to whether they may have additional, as yet unstated, affirmative defenses available and cannot fully anticipate all affirmative defenses that may be applicable to the within action. Accordingly, the right to assert additional affirmative defenses, if and to the extent that such affirmative defenses are applicable, is hereby reserved. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Claimants prays as follows:

- 1. That this court take such action against interested party Joan C. Hunziker as it deems appropriate based upon the Complaint filed by the United States of America;
- 2. That this court take such action with respect to the defendant real property which is the subject matter of this action as it deems appropriate;
- 3. That this court order that the First Deed of Trust and Second Deed of Trust, both recorded on August 15, 2006, constitute valid first and second priority liens, respectively, on the defendant real property, which must be first satisfied in connection with any forfeiture proceedings or with any foreclosure sale of that property prior to the distribution of any sale proceeds on said property to Plaintiff or any other defendant in this action;
 - 4. For the costs of suit herein; and

27 | //

28 | | /

5. For such other and further relief as this court may deem just and proper. CLAIMANTS DEMAND TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES.

DATED: November 72006 WOLFE & WYMAN LLP

STUART B. WOLFE
MICHAEL H. CHANG
Attorneys for Third Party Claimants
CITIMORTGAGE, INC. and
CITIBANK, N.A.

H:\Matters\CitiMtg, Inc.- CMI (1133.001)\147 (USA v Table Bluff Prop)\Pleadings\Answer (CMI)(Table Bluff).doc

WOLFE & WYMAN ILP ATTORNERS & COURSEDORS AT LAW	1	<u>VERIFICATION</u>
	2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE
	3 4	I have read the foregoing ANSWER OF CLAIMANTS CITIMORTGAGE, INC. AND CITIBANK, N.A. TO THE COMPLAINT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR FORFEITURE and know its contents.
	5 6 7 8	☐ I am a claimant in this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. ☐ I am ☐ an Officer ☐ a partner ☐ a of CitiMortgage, Inc., a claimant in this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason.
	9	I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.
	11 12	The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge, except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.
	13 14	I am one of the attorneys for , a party to this action. Such party is absent from the county of aforesaid where such attorneys have their offices, and I make this verification for and on behalf of that party for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document
	15 16	Executed on November 17, 2006, at Ofallon Missouri,
	17 18	California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
	19	
	20	NATE BLACKSTUN
	22	Type or Print Name Signature
	23	
	24 25	
	26	
	27 28	
		ANSWER OF CLAIMANTS CITIMORTGAGE, INC. AND CITIBANK, N.A.

	1	<u>VERIFICATION</u>
	2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE
	3 4	I have read the foregoing ANSWER OF CLAIMANTS CITIMORTGAGE, INC. AND CITIBANK, N.A. TO THE COMPLAINT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR FORFEITURE and know its contents.
	5	☑ I am a claimant in this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.
	6	
	7 8	I am an Officer a partner a partner are a claimant in this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason.
	9	☐ I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in
	10	the foregoing document are true.
	11	The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge, except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those
TILP 4 Lev	12	matters I believe them to be true.
WOLFE & WYMAN LLP ATTORNETS & COURSELDES AT LAW	13	I am one of the attorneys for, a party to this action. Such party is absent from the county of aforesaid where such attorneys have their offices, and I make this verification for and on behalf of that party for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document
	14 15	verification for and on behalf of that party for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.
	16	Executed on November [3, 2006, at O Emm wisoni , California.
	17	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
	18	foregoing is true and correct.
	19 20	ρ
	21	SCOTT SCHEINER JOSEPH
	22	Type or Print Name Signature Scott Scheiner
	23	AVF
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
		ANSWER OF CLAIMANTS CITIMORTGAGE, INC. AND CITIBANK, N.A.
		ANSWER OF CLAIMANTS CITIMORTGAGE, INC. ARC CITIZATION